

4.) Electromagnetic field tensor

From the electromagnetic potentials  $\vec{A}$  and  $\varphi$  (or  $A_\mu$ ) we can derive the fields  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  according to

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad (\vec{A}: \text{Vector potential})$$

$$\text{and } \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \varphi = c \cdot \left[ \nabla A_4 - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x^4} \right] \quad \left[ \begin{array}{l} \vec{A} = 4D \text{ potential} \\ \text{with } A_4 = -\frac{1}{c} \varphi \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{array} \right]$$

For the single components we get:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} B_x = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x_3} \\ B_y = \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x_1} \\ B_z = \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x_2} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} E_x = c \cdot \left( \frac{\partial A_4}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x^4} \right) \\ E_y = c \cdot \left( \frac{\partial A_4}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x^4} \right) \\ E_z = c \cdot \left( \frac{\partial A_4}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x^4} \right) \end{array}$$

Let's next define the antisymmetric field tensor

$$F_{\nu\mu} = \partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu$$

which has the form:

$$F_{\nu\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_z & -B_y & \frac{1}{c} E_x \\ -B_z & 0 & B_x & \frac{1}{c} E_y \\ B_y & -B_x & 0 & \frac{1}{c} E_z \\ -\frac{1}{c} E_x & -\frac{1}{c} E_y & -\frac{1}{c} E_z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

→ we can group the four Maxwell equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0 & \quad ; \quad \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \vec{J} \\ \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 & \quad ; \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho \end{aligned}$$

in two differential equations (DE):

$$(*) \quad \partial_\nu F_{\mu\lambda} + \partial_\mu F_{\lambda\nu} + \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\mu} = 0 \quad \text{"homogeneous field equation"}$$

and

$$(**) \quad \partial^\nu F_{\nu\mu} = \mu_0 S_\mu \quad \text{"inhomogeneous field equation"}$$

where  $(\nu, \mu, \lambda)$  is a cyclic permutation of  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ .  
 [e.g.  $(\nu, \mu, \lambda) = (1, 2, 3)$  or  $(2, 3, 4)$  or  $(3, 4, 1)$  or  $(4, 1, 2)$ ...]

The homogeneous DE (\*) contains four DE:

$$1.) \quad (\nu, \mu, \lambda) = (1, 2, 3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial F_{23}}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial F_{31}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial x^3} = \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x^3} = \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$2.) \quad (\nu, \mu, \lambda) = (2, 3, 4)$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{34}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial F_{42}}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial F_{23}}{\partial x^4} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \left( \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \right)_x = 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

The other two DE contain the  $y$ - and  $z$ -components of the Faraday law.

The four inhomogeneous DE (\*\*\*) contain the Ampere-Maxwell and Poisson equations:

$\nu=1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^\nu F_{1\mu} &= \frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial F_{13}}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial F_{14}}{\partial x_4} \\ &= \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_3}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \vec{j}_x\end{aligned}$$

...

$\hookrightarrow$

$$\boxed{\nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \vec{j}}$$

$\nu=4$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^\nu F_{4\mu} &= \frac{\partial F_{41}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial F_{42}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial F_{43}}{\partial x_3} \\ &= -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z} = -\mu_0 c \cdot \rho\end{aligned}$$

$\hookrightarrow$

$$\boxed{\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \mu_0 c^2 \rho = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho}$$

Poisson Equation

So far we have shown that the two DE (\*) and (\*\*\*) with the field tensor  $F_{\mu\nu}$  reproduce the Maxwell equations in vacuum.

We still have to show the form invariance of the Maxwell equations  $\Rightarrow$

against a Lorentz transformation!

For this, we have to prove that the field tensor  $F_{\nu\mu}$  has the proper transformation properties, which we will show next! If we assume for right now that this is the case, it is easy to see that the inhomogeneous DE:  $\partial^\nu F_{\nu\mu} = \mu_0 S_\mu$  has the correct transformation property, since  $\partial^\nu$  and  $S_\mu$  transform like contra- and co-variant vectors, respectively.

To prove that the homogeneous DE (\*) has the proper transformation behavior, we introduce the anti-symmetric  $\epsilon$ -tensor of 4.<sup>th</sup> degree:

$$\epsilon_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (i, j, k, l) = \text{even permutations} \\ & \text{of } (1, 2, 3, 4) \\ -1 & \text{for } (i, j, k, l) = \text{odd permutations} \\ & \text{of } (1, 2, 3, 4) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The homogeneous field equation (\*) becomes with this:

$$\boxed{\epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu F_{\mu\lambda} = 0}$$

This equation consists of 4 equations for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . For  $i = 1$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{1\nu\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu F_{\mu\lambda} &= \partial_2 F_{34} - \partial_2 F_{43} + \partial_3 F_{42} - \partial_3 F_{24} + \partial_4 F_{23} - \partial_4 F_{32} \\ &= 2[\partial_2 F_{34} + \partial_3 F_{42} + \partial_4 F_{23}] = 0! \quad \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Since we pre-assumed that  $F_{\mu\nu}$  has the correct transformation behavior, we have to show this now only for the  $\epsilon$ -tensor.

$\leadsto$  We have to prove that

$$\epsilon^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma} = L_{\nu}^{\nu} L_{\mu}^{\mu} L_{\rho}^{\rho} L_{\sigma}^{\sigma} \epsilon_0^{ijkl} \quad (***)$$

has the same property as  $\epsilon_0^{ijkl}$ .

The equation (\*\*\*) can be written with help of determinants in the form of

$$\epsilon^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma} = \begin{vmatrix} L_1^{\nu} & L_1^{\mu} & L_1^{\rho} & L_1^{\sigma} \\ L_2^{\nu} & L_2^{\mu} & L_2^{\rho} & L_2^{\sigma} \\ L_3^{\nu} & L_3^{\mu} & L_3^{\rho} & L_3^{\sigma} \\ L_4^{\nu} & L_4^{\mu} & L_4^{\rho} & L_4^{\sigma} \end{vmatrix}$$

Since for each  $L_j^i$ :  $\det(L_j^i) = 1$ , follow from the mathematical rule for determinants, that  $\epsilon^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}$  also is a  $\epsilon$ -tensor!

### 5.) Transformation properties of Field tensor

The field tensor  $F_{\mu\nu}$  has the correct transformation behavior,

if:

$$F_{\nu\mu} = L_{\nu}^{\rho} F_{\rho\sigma} L_{\mu}^{\sigma}$$

$$\text{or } F_{\alpha\beta} = L_{\alpha}^{\nu} F_{\nu\mu} L_{\beta}^{\mu} \quad \Rightarrow$$

~

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & \frac{v}{c}\gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{v}{c}\gamma & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_z & -B_y & \frac{1}{c}E_x \\ -B_z & 0 & B_x & \frac{1}{c}E_y \\ B_y & -B_x & 0 & \frac{1}{c}E_z \\ -\frac{1}{c}E_x & -\frac{1}{c}E_y & -\frac{1}{c}E_z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & \frac{v}{c}\gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{v}{c}\gamma & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hookrightarrow F_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma B_z - \frac{v}{c^2}\gamma E_y & -\gamma B_y - \frac{v}{c^2}\gamma E_z & \frac{1}{c}E_x \\ -\gamma B_z + \frac{v}{c^2}\gamma E_y & 0 & B_x & -\frac{v}{c}\gamma B_z + \frac{1}{c}\gamma E_y \\ \gamma B_y + \frac{v}{c^2}\gamma E_z & -B_x & 0 & \frac{v}{c}\gamma B_y + \frac{1}{c}\gamma E_z \\ -\frac{1}{c}E_x & \frac{v}{c}\gamma B_z - \frac{1}{c}\gamma E_y & -\frac{v}{c}\gamma B_y - \frac{1}{c}\gamma E_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

On the other side we defined the antisymmetric field tensor through

$$F_{\nu\mu} = \partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu \Rightarrow F_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_z & -B_y & \frac{1}{c}E_x \\ -B_z & 0 & B_x & \frac{1}{c}E_y \\ B_y & -B_x & 0 & \frac{1}{c}E_z \\ -\frac{1}{c}E_x & -\frac{1}{c}E_y & -\frac{1}{c}E_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By comparison of the components follows the transformation behavior of the fields  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  from the 'rest'-frame in the 'lab'-frame:

$$\begin{array}{l|l} E_x = E_x & B_x = B_x \\ E_y = \gamma(E_y - v \cdot B_z) & B_y = \gamma(B_y - \frac{v}{c^2} \cdot E_z) \\ E_z = \gamma(E_z + v B_y) & B_z = \gamma(B_z - \frac{v}{c^2} E_y) \end{array} \Rightarrow \vec{E} = \gamma[\vec{E} + v \times \vec{B}], \vec{v} = (v, 0, 0)$$

Now, we have to verify experimentally, that this is the correct transformation behavior.

First let's look at velocities small compared to the speed of light  $c$ :

$$\frac{v}{c} \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \approx 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \vec{E}_0 = \vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}, \quad B_0 = B$$

with the Coulomb-law in the 'rest'-frame follows

$$F_0 = q \cdot E_0 \quad \text{'rest'-frame}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \vec{F} = q \cdot (\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \quad \text{in 'lab'-frame}$$

$\Leftrightarrow$  In the special relativity theory, the Lorentz force result from the transformation behavior of the field tensor  $F_{\mu\nu}$ , using the Coulomb law in the 'rest'-frame!