

## **Physics 8110: EM-II Project Presentation Evaluation**

The basic idea behind all presentations is to pick a subject such as EM wave propagation with specific boundary conditions (e.g. Surface plasmons, micro waves, rf-waves, cavities, ), or any other topics related to EM-II fundamentals (dielectric response, 1D/2D/3D-confined EM-waves, ..., relativistic effects, synchrotron radiation, free electron laser, ....), Understand the physical concept behind and how the subject applies to a specific relevant application.

*Grading of presentations* is considered using the following criteria, each of which contains several subcriteria. Please evaluate each subcriteria shown. The grade of the project presentation counts for 50% of the total grade.

Name:	Date:		Title of Presentation:						
					1				
PRESENTATION COMPONENT				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Slightly disagree	Slightly Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Content and Organ									
The introduction section was effective									
The objectives of this aspect of the project were clearly presented									
The presentation had an effective communication structure and the logic of the									
project activity was clear									
The presentation was effectively targeted to this audience									
The conclusion section was effective									
Presentation Style	. J	1			1			1 1	
The presenter had a professional appearance  The presenter exhibited confident enthusiasm about the subject material									
The pace of the presentation was appropriate  The presenter enunciated clearly and spoke at an appropriate volume									
The presenter enunciated clearly and spoke at an appropriate volume  The presenter interacted effectively and made good visual contact with the audience									
Visual Aids	iteracted effective	very and	made good visual contact with the audience					<u> </u>	
	to read and de	sioned w	rith an interesting format						
Slides were easy to read and designed with an interesting format  Slides were relevant and communicated content effectively									
Response to Question									
Presenter clearly understood the questions before responding									
Responses were concise and accurate									
Ways that would improve the presentation (comment - based on the above criteria):									
G T . 1	: 10								
Comments to Tech	nical Content:								
	Me	ost Imp	ressive Component of Presentation -						